

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,898	04/30/2001	Ronald J. Kolata	102863-2	4070	
21125	7590 10/18/2005	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ICCLENNEN & FISH I	RAMANA, A	RAMANA, ANURADHA		
	ADE CENTER WEST RT-BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, M	IA 02210-2604		3733		
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/845,898	KOLATA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anu Ramana	3733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addres	;s		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSION OF THE MAILING DISSION OF THE MAILING DISSION OF THE MAILING DISSION OF THE METERS OF THE MAILING DISSION OF THE METERS O	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, r will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to become	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communities ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S	September 2005.				
·	·	s action is non-final.				
,						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1,3-9,11,13-15,37-42 and 44-46 is/ar	e pending in the appli	cation.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	 ✓ Claim(s) 37-42 and 44-46 is/are allowed. 					
•	Claim(s) 1,3-9,11 and 13-15 is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•						
. — Applicati	ion Papers					
	•	or				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·		Adminor. Note the dis	toriou oriioo xodon oriiomin i o	. • • •		
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Othe		- /		

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability of claims 1, 3-9, 11 and 13-15 is being withdrawn in view of the rejections made in this office action. Accordingly, the finality of the office action mailed on August 9, 2005 is also being withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1 appears to be directed to the embodiment of Figure 3. The flexible member is not secured to the pivot point in this embodiment.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, it is unclear whether the pivot point is part of the body. In Figure 3, the tissue grasping claws are connected to the actuating members at a pivot point 13 that is separate from the body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/845,898

Art Unit: 3733

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell (US 746,455).

Campbell discloses a tool having a body (E³, E', E and E²), a tissue grasping element appended to the body and having first and second opposed claws B', first and second actuating members B² mated to the body and extending from openings in the body, a biasing element C extending between the first and second actuating members and a flexible member F secured at pivot point B³ (Figures 1-3, page 1 and page 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagenhauser (US 2,195,832) in view of Norton (US 6,256,923).

Wagenhauser discloses a hand tool 10 having a body (11, 12), a tissue grasping element appended to the body and having first and second opposed claws (23, 25) movable about pivot point 22 and first and second opposed actuating members (27, 28) extending from openings in the body (Figs. 1-4, page 1, lines 1-60 and page 2, lines 1-71).

Wagenhauser discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for a flexible member secured to the body of the tool.

Norton teaches providing a lanyard or "flexible member" or "string" 24 in a hole in an actuating member of a hand tool so that the tool can be attached to a support such as the wrist of a user (Fig. 2B and col. 2, lines 53-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/845,898

Art Unit: 3733

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a string or "flexible member" having a portion attached to the body of the Wagenhauser tool, as taught by Norton, so that the tool can be attached to the wrist of a user.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 37-42 and 44-46 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 11, 2005

EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER